



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/068,871 | 02/11/2002 | Lawson A. Wood | AW-19 | AW-19 2629 | |
| 7590 01/09/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| Lawson A. Wood 873 N. Frederick Street | | | WU, XIAO MIN | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 0 , | | | 2674 | 2674 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/068,871 | WOOD, LAWSON A. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| XIAO M. WU | 2674 | |

| | XIAO M. WU | 2674 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no | | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | | |
| | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have | | | | | | |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action: or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com | nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be | filed within two man | the of the data | | | | |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must t | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | igtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtarrow igtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtigt | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-8,11,18-33,37 and 38</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 36. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>34 and 35</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | ı <i>).</i> hed | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | - | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | | | | | |
| 13. □ Other: | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | XIAO M. WU | | | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly amended claim 34 requireds further consideration and search since they were not present earlier for examination.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): applicant's reply has overcome the 102 rejection under Gibbons and 103 rejection under Gibbons in view of Heimbuch.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the broadly claimed structures 34-35 are still met by Baldwin because Baldwin discloses different frames have different order of bit ranks of video words as shown in Figs. 6a-6e.